Approved For Release 2001/07/27 CPARENTS-00149R0006

FOIAb3b

WEEKLY-9,500

1567 CPYRGHTNOV 8



Zletoro Notebook OULD YOU BELIEVE?

Would you believe that the head of the British secret service was a So-

Well, would you believe that the man who almost became head of the British secret service, and did in fact lead the

It seems incredible, but it is true, that for 30 years a Communist called Philby had wormed his way successfully into the highest ranks of British intelligence. At one time he was stationed at the British Embassy in Washington and was the British secret intelligence service chief liaison man with the CIA.

Imagine the secrets this man dispatched to his Russian masters!

When this newspaper and other organizations which know the extent of the Communist conspiracy in this nation and in the world tell people about it, very often we are met by disbelief. People feel that somehow this type of spying only happens in mystery novels, movies and TV scenarios.

IN REAL LIFE
But it DOES happen in real life, as the case of Kim Philby proves.

These Communists look like ordinary people, they dress like other U. S. citizens, they enjoy the same things other people enjoy, and to allOUTWARD AP-PEARANCES they lead perfectly nor-

mal lives.

But there is one great difference. These people are owned, body and soul, by the Communist Party. They will do anything the Communist Party or the Soviet Union wants them to do. They are fanatics.

Somehow their mild outward appearance fools a great many people. Many just can't believe that such individuals are Communists.

SHOCKING CHILLER

This chilling episode is spelled out Anthony Lejeune, British correspondent for the Manchester N.H. UNION-LEADER. Mr. Lejeune's shocker follows:

"Would you believe that the head of ther. the British secret service was a Soviet Well, would you believe that the man who almost became head of the British secret service, and did in fact lead the counter-esplonage section to his lead to be the lead lieve it because it's true.

Philby, the "Third Man" in the Burgess and Maclean affair and for many years a senior officer at the heart of M16, Britain's intelligence organization, was

These startling revelations about younger intelligentsia. Philby, far more explicit than anything been very thoroughly researched.

them suppressed. The Russians, on the on "the British Establishment's laa remarkable achievement and ready for McCarthyism", enough to embarrass the British authorities, probably helped them along a little -- which doesn't make them any less true.

Just to rub a bit more salt in the wound, they were preceded a couple of days earlier by the first pictures of that other master spy for the Communists, George Blake, who escaped from prison last year, now apparently happy and well in Moscow.

The damage these two men did is Blake betrayed wholeincalculable. lists of British agents to the Russians. Phily was at one time head of Ml6's Washington office, responsible for liaison with the CIAmand the FBI.

What conclusions can we draw from these terrible cases? It is fair to say that the most blatant weaknesses in the system were corrected after a searching investigation in 1960. It might also be argued that the damage would have been more restricted if the links between the British and American intelligence communities had been less close. This may be true, but the price, in terms of overall usefulness, would by the following account written by be too high to pay. And this argument cuts the other way too; the most valuable spy-catching has been achieved through information passed on from one Western intelligence network to ano-

WILL BE DISASTER

In any protracted war, cold or hot, there will be disasters. These things happen. They happen to the Russians

Ariginal filed under

But two sentences in one newspaper account of the Philby affair are worth pondering. He got away with it, The Observer says, because the system of personal contacts and trust which recruited by the Soviets a few months formed the traditional structure of the after leaving the university in 1933, and, British secret service failed to take accounter-espionage section, was a Soviet from then on, passed information re- count of the fact that "the events of the agent?

gularly to the Communists. thirties had eroded the loyalties of the

And after the defection of Burgess which was publicly known before, have and Maclean, when American intelliappeared simultaneously in two Brit- gence officers were fairly certain he ish newspapers. They are authentica- was the guilty "Third Man" who had ted by Philby's son, who has just vi- tipped them off, Philby nevertheless sited him in Moscow, and they have succeeded in persuading a secret court of inquiry in London that he was in-The British government tried to get nocent of direct complicity by playing other hand, proud of what was indeed tent anti-Americanism" and "contempt

IDEOLOGICAL TRAITORS
Both Blake and Philby were ideologi-

cal traitors -- as, from the opposite point of view, was Penkovsky. Whether this makes them more or less morally culpable than such straightforward national spies as Lonsdale of Ab-

el is a question for debate. But not really a very important one.

What matters is the practical consequence, which some people have still not understood.

in the great struggle Espionage which divides the modern world, is not a question of sinister foreigners peering through the long grass and sketching gun emplacements. Its vital battles, its fatal subversions, take place in the mind. What we are defending are not just hunks of real estate labelled Britain and America but a set of ideas, of political priorities, which are the conditions of freedom.

The traitors and enemies against whom we must try to protect ourselves are not simply national opponents but men and women, whether inside or outside our own frontiers, who attack, betray and erode those ideas. Not to recognise this danger -- to blur it, for example, in a woolly cloud of liberal anti-anti-communism -- has become the gravest weakness in any security system."